arXiv:1411.6352v2 [math.NT] 23 Jun 2015

# IWASAWA Main Conjecture for Supersingular Elliptic curves

Xin Wan

**Abstract**

In this paper we prove the $\pm$-main conjecture formulated by Kobayashi for elliptic curves with supersingular reduction at $p$ such that $a_p = 0$, using a completely new idea of reducing it to another Iwasawa-Greenberg main conjecture which is more accessible. We also prove as a corollary the $p$-part of the BSD formula at supersingular primes when the analytic rank is 0. The argument uses in an essential way the recent study on explicit reciprocity law for Beilinson-Flach elements by Kings-Loeffler-Zerbes.

## 1 Introduction

Let $p$ be an odd prime. Iwasawa theory studies relations between special values of $L$-functions and arithmetic objects such as class numbers of number fields or more generally $p$-adic Selmer groups. The central problem for this study is the Iwasawa main conjecture, which roughly speaking, says that the size (or more precisely the characteristic ideal) of certain module parameterizing the $p$-adic families of Selmer groups is generated by the so called $p$-adic $L$-function, which interpolates $p$-adic families of the algebraic parts of the corresponding special $L$-values. Iwasawa main conjecture is also a useful tool in proving the refined Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) formula for elliptic curves.

Earlier work on Iwasawa main conjecture includes the work of Mazur-Wiles [19], Wiles [38] for $p$-adic families of Hecke characters of totally real fields using the Eisenstein congruence on $\mathrm{GL}_2$, Rubin [27] for characters for quadratic imaginary fields using Euler systems of elliptic units, the work of Hida-Tilouine for anticyclotomic characters of general CM fields [9], the work of E.Urban [32] on symmetric square $\mathcal{L}$ functions, the work of Bertolini-Darmon [2] for anticyclotomic main conjecture for modular forms, and the recent work of Kato [10] and Skinner-Urban [31] which proves the Iwasawa main conjecture for ordinary elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{Q}$ (and this list is not complete). We briefly recall the formulation of [31]. Let $\mathbb{Q}_\infty$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$ extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with the Galois group denoted as $\Gamma_\mathbb{Q}$. Write $\Lambda_\mathbb{Q} := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_\mathbb{Q}]]$. There is a $p$-adic $L$-function $\mathcal{L}_E$ interpolating the central critical values of the $L$-function for $E$. We define the Selmer group by

$$\mathrm{Sel}_E : \varinjlim_n \ker\{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_n, T_E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \to \prod_{v\nmid p} H^1(I_v, T_E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \times \prod_{v|p} H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, T_E/T_E^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)\}$$

where $T_E^+$ is a rank one submodule of $T_E$ stable under $G_v$ such that the $G_v$-action is unramified on $T_E/T_E^+$. The dual Selmer group $X_E$ being the Pontryagin dual of $\mathrm{Sel}_E$. The Iwasawa main conjecture states that $X_E$ is a torsion $\Lambda_\mathbb{Q}$-module and the characteristic ideal of $X_E$ as a module over $\Lambda_\mathbb{Q}$ is generated by $\mathcal{L}_E$. In fact Kato proves one divisibility by constructing an Euler system

while Skinner-Urban ([31]) proves the other divisibility using Eisenstein congruences on the larger unitary group $U(2,2)$.

Now let us turn to the supersingular elliptic curve case. By Taniyama-Shimura conjecture proved by Wiles [39] and Breuil-Conrad-Diamond-Taylor [1] we know there is a normalized cuspidal eigenform $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n$ associated to $E$. Suppose $a_p = 0$. (This is automatically true if $p \geq 5$). For a supersingular elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{Q}$, Kobayashi ([16]) reformulated Kato's result in terms of the $\pm$ $p$-adic $L$-functions $\mathcal{L}_E^{\pm}$ and $\pm$-Selmer groups (we recall in the text). The Iwasawa main conjecture for supersingular elliptic curves is

**Conjecture 1.1.** *The $\pm$-dual Selmer group $X_E^{\pm}$ is torsion over $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and the characteristic ideal of $X_E^{\pm}$ is generated by $\mathcal{L}_E^{\pm}$ as ideals of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Here we define the characteristic ideal as follows

**Definition 1.2.** *Let $A$ be a Noetherian normal domain and $M$ a finitely generated $A$-module. Then the characteristic ideal $\mathrm{char}_A(M)$ of $M$ is defined to be*

$$\{x \in A | \mathrm{ord}_P(x) \geq \mathrm{Length}_{A_P} M_P, \textit{for any height one prime } P \textit{ of } A\}.$$

*If $M$ is not $A$-torsion then we define it to be zero.*

Before this work the only result for $\pm$-main conjecture is due to Pollack-Rubin ([25]) for CM elliptic curves. However the proof in *loc.cit* does not generalize to all supersingular elliptic curves. It is also a natural attempt to adapt the argument of [31] to the supersingular case. To make this work we similarly take an auxiliary quadratic imaginary field $\mathcal{K}$ such that $p$ splits as $v_0\bar{v}_0$. However this turns out to be quite hard since we do not see any ways to pick up the $\pm$-part of the Selmer groups from Skinner-Urban's construction. Another possibility would be trying to prove the formuation of the main conjecture of Pottharst [23], which, instead of using the $\pm$ theory, studies one (unbounded) $p$-adic $L$-function for an $U_p$-eigenvector and the corresponding Selmer group. Then it is reasonable to believe that one needs to construct families of triangulations for the family of Galois representations on the eigenvariety of $U(2,2)$. However it is not clear whether there are such families of triangulations at all points we need to study (see [20]). Moreover it seems hard to get the main conjecture before inverting $p$ by this method. Finally the construction of the Eisenstein families and the study of the Fourier-Jacobi expansion in this case both require completely new ideas.

After some unsuccessful tries, a different idea inspired by the study of Beilinson-Flach elements came into our consideration. There is another kind of main conjecture for Rankin-Selberg $p$-adic $L$-functions of a modular form $f$ and a CM form $g$ with respect to $\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Q}$ such that $g$ has higher weight than $f$. No matter whether $f$ is ordinary, this main conjecture is in a "Greenberg type" (since this Rankin-Selberg product satisfies the "Panchishkin" condition of [5]) and is hopefully more accessible to proof. We call the $\pm$-main conjecture (as extended by B.D. Kim to a two variable one) case one and the "Greenberg type" main conjectures case two. A surprising fact is, these ostensibly different main conjectures are actually equivalent (note that conjecture two does not involve any $\pm$ theory at all)! The Beilinson-Flach elements can be used to build a bridge between case one and case two. In fact the explicit reciprocity law (studied by Kings-Loeffler-Zerbes and Bertolini-Darmon-Rotger) enables us to reformulate the main conjectures in both cases in terms of Beilinson-Flach elements and in fact the new formulations for the two cases are the same. This means we can reduce the proof

of one case to the other one. We note here that unlike Kato's zeta elements which are by definition in the bounded Iwasawa cohomology group, the Beilinson-Flach elements form an unbounded family in the non-ordinary case. Therefore we need to construct a bounded "+" Beilinson-Flach element from the unbounded Beilinson-Flach classes constructed by Lei-Loeffler-Zerbes, in the similar flavor as Pollack's work on constructing the $\pm$ $p$-adic $L$-functions. This is the very reason why a $\pm$-type main conjecture can be equivalent to a Greenberg type one. Finally we succeeded in proving one divisibility of the main conjecture in case two ([34], [35]). This finishes the proof of the lower bound for Selmer group in B.D. Kim's main conjecture. The conjecture of Kobayashi (cyclotomic main conjecture) follows from B.D. Kim's via an easy control theorem of Selmer groups. Our main result is

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose $E$ has square-free conductor $N$, supersingular reduction at $p$ and $a_p = 0$. Then Conjecture 1.1 is true.*

The square-free conductor assumption is put in [35] (can be removed if we would like to do some technical triple product computations). The assumption for $a_p = 0$ is primarily made for simplicity and we expect the same idea to work to prove the conjecture by F. Sprung [30] when $a_p \neq 0$. We also remark that although we work with supersingular case, however, even in the ordinary case, with the same idea we can deduce new cases of the two variable main conjectures considered in [31] (there the global sign is assumed to be $+1$ while we no longer need this assumption). Finally in the two variable case the upper bound for Selmer group is still missing since there are some technical obstacles (about level raising) to construct the Beilinson-Flach element Euler system in our context. Luckily such upper bound in one variable case is already provided by the work of Kato and Kobayashi.

In the text we will also prove the p-part of the refined BSD formula in the analytic rank 0 case as a corollary (Corollary 4.8). Therefore our result combined with the results in [31] and [29] gives the full refined BSD formula up to powers of 2, for a large class of semi-stable elliptic curves (when analytic rank is 0). The two-variable main conjecture we prove can also be used to deduce the anti-cyclotomic main conjecture of Darmon-Iovita ([3]). We leave this to industrious reader.

In the argument we prove the result for the $+$-main conjecture since the $-$-main conjecture is equivalent. This paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we develop some local theory and recall the precise formulation of the B.D. Kim's two variable main conjecture and the main conjecture proved in [35]. In section 3 we recall the work of D. Loeffler et al on Beilinson-Flach elements, especially the explicit reciprocity law. We reinterpret these reciprocity laws in terms of the local theory in section 2. In secion 4 we put everything together and prove the main result using Poitou-Tate exact sequence. To treat powers of $p$ we use a trick which appeals to Rubin's work on main conjecture for CM fields, and Hida-Tilouine's idea of constructing anti-cyclotomic Selmer group from congruence modules.

Notations:
We let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $f$ be the weight two cuspidal normalized eigenform associated to it by the Shimura-Taniyama conjecture of conductor $N$. Write

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n$$

with $a_p = 0$. Let $T$ be the Tate module of $E$ and $V = T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $\alpha = \sqrt{-p}$. Then there are two eigenforms $f_\alpha, f_{-\alpha}$ of level $Np$ for $U_p$-operator in the automorphic representation of $f$ with eigenvalues $\alpha, -\alpha$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a quadratic imaginary field in which $p$ splits as $v_0\bar{v}_0$. We fix once for all an isomorphism $\iota_p : \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}_p$ and suppose $v_0$ is induced by $\iota_p$.

Let $\mathcal{K}_\infty$ be the unique $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$-extension of $\mathcal{K}$ with $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_\infty/\mathcal{K})$ denoted as $\Gamma_\mathcal{K}$. Let $\Lambda = \Lambda_\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_\mathcal{K}]]$. We assume $v_0$ splits into $p^t$ different primes in $\mathcal{K}_\infty$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{cyc}$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$ extension of $\mathcal{K}$. We write $\Gamma$ for the Galois group of the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $U$ the Galois group of the unramified $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We fix topological generators $\gamma$ and $u$ of them with $u$ being the arithmetic Frobenius. Let $\Gamma_n = \Gamma/p^n\Gamma$ and $U_m = U/p^mU$. Let $\Gamma_p \subseteq \Gamma_\mathcal{K}$ be the decomposition group of $v_0$ in $\Gamma_\mathcal{K}$. Then $[\Gamma_\mathcal{K} : \Gamma_p] = p^t$. We also define the maximal sub-extension $\mathcal{K}^{v_0}$ of $\mathcal{K}_{\infty,v_0}$ such that $\bar{v}_0$ is unramified and define $\mathcal{K}^{\bar{v}_0}$ similarly but switching the roles played by $v_0$ and $\bar{v}_0$. We define $\Gamma_{v_0}$ as $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_\infty/\mathcal{K}^{\bar{v}_0})$ and $\Gamma_{\bar{v}_0}$ as $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_\infty/\mathcal{K}^{v_0})$. Let $\gamma_{v_0}$ and $\gamma_{\bar{v}_0}$ be their topological generator. We also identify $U = U_{v_0} = U_{\bar{v}_0} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_{\infty,v_0}/\mathcal{K}_{cyc,v_0})$. Let $\Gamma^- = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_\infty/\mathcal{K}_{cyc})$ and $\gamma^-$ be a topological generator. Let $\Psi$ be the character $G_\mathcal{K} \to \Gamma_\mathcal{K} \to \Lambda_\mathcal{K}^\times$ and let $\mathcal{E}$ be $\Psi$ composed with the reciprocity map in class field theory (normalized by the geometric Frobenius). Define $\Lambda_\mathcal{K}^*$ as the Pontryagin dual of $\Lambda_\mathcal{K}$. Define $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}$ as the completion of the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-unramified extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. (In the literature it usually means the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. But the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is enough for our purposes).

We write $\Phi_m(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} X^{p^{m-1}i}$ for the $p^m$-th cyclotomic polynomial. Our $\alpha, \beta$ will be denoting any elements in the set $\{\pm\sqrt{-p}\}$. Sometimes we will precisely indicate that $\alpha = \sqrt{-p}$, $\beta = -\sqrt{-p}$. Fix a compatible system of roots of unity $\zeta_{p^n}$ such that $\zeta_{p^n}^p = \zeta_{p^{n-1}}$. For a character $\omega$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ we define a $\varepsilon$ factor of it as in [18, Page 8]: we define $\varepsilon(\omega) = 1$ if it is unramified and

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^\times} \omega(x^{-1})\lambda(x)dx$$

otherwise. Here $\lambda$ is an additive character of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ such that the kernel is $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\lambda(\frac{1}{p^n}) = \zeta_{p^n}$. We can also define the $\varepsilon$ factors for Galois characters via class field theory ($p$ is mapped to the geometric Frobenius). For a primitive character of $\Gamma/\Gamma_n$ we also define the Gauss sum $\mathfrak{g}(\omega) := \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma/\Gamma_n} \omega(\gamma)\zeta_{p^n}^\gamma$.

*Acknowledgement* We thank Christopher Skinner, Eric Urban, Henri Darmon, David Loeffler, Richard Taylor, Toby Gee, Ruochuan Liu and Wei Zhang for helpful communications.

# 2 The Two Variable Main Conjectures

## 2.1 Local Theory and Two-Variable Main Conjecture

In this subsection we develop some local theory. The main goal is to construct two-variable regulator maps $\mathrm{Col}^+$ and $\mathrm{LOG}^+$ which are important for our argument. The $\mathrm{Col}^+$ is essentially constructed by Kim [11] and the $\mathrm{LOG}^+$ is not in literature.

We note that for any prime $v$ above $p$ the field $\mathcal{K}_v$ is the composition of the maximal unramified $\mathbb{Z}_p$ extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension. So it is necessary to study the Galois cohomology of this composed extension. We have an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$ sending $\gamma$ to $(1 + X)$. Define $\omega_n^+(X) := X\prod_{2\le m\le n, 2|m} \Phi_m(X)$ and $\omega_n^-(X) := \prod_{1\le m\le n, 2\nmid m} \Phi_m(X)$ (our definition is slightly

different from [16]). We recall some notions from [11] with some modifications. For $k/\mathbb{Q}_p$ an unramified extension of degree $d$ let $\mathcal{O}_k$ be its integer ring, consider the field $k(\zeta_{p^{n+1}})$ and let $\mathfrak{m}_{k(\zeta_{p^{n+1}})}$ be the maximal ideal of its valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{k(\zeta_{p^{n+1}})}$. Let $k_n$ be the $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ sub-extension of $k(\zeta_{p^{n+1}})$ with $\mathfrak{m}_{k,n}$ the maximal ideal of its integer ring. We define

$$E^+[k(\mu_{p^{n+1}})] = \{x \in E(k(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))|\mathrm{tr}_{k(\mu_{p^{n+1}})/k(\mu_{p^{\ell+2}})}(x) \in E(k(\mu_{p^{\ell+1}})), 0 \leq \ell < n, 2|\ell\}.$$

We also define the +-norm subgroup

$$\hat{E}^+[\mathfrak{m}_{k(\mu_{p^{n+1}})}] = \{x \in \hat{E}(\mathfrak{m}_{k(\mu_{p^{n+1}})})|\mathrm{tr}_{k(\mu_{p^{n+1}})/k(\mu_{p^{\ell+2}})}(x) \in \hat{E}(\mathfrak{m}_{k(\mu_{p^{\ell+1}})}), 0 \leq \ell < n, 2|\ell\}.$$

Let

$$\log_f(X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n \frac{f^{(2n)}(X)}{p^n}$$

for $f^{(n)} = f^{\varphi^{n-1}} \circ f^{\varphi^{n-2}} \circ \cdots f(X)$. As in [11], for $z \in \mathcal{O}_k^\times$ we define a point $c_{n,z} \in \hat{E}[\mathfrak{m}_{k(\zeta_{p^n})}]$ such that

$$\log_{\hat{E}}(c_{n,z}) = [\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{i-1} z^{\varphi^{-(n+2i)}} \cdot p^i] + \log_{f_z^{\varphi^{-n}}}(z^{\varphi^{-n}} \cdot (\zeta_{p^n} - 1))$$

where $\varphi$ is the Frobenius on $k$ and $f_z(x) := (x+z)^p - z^p$. Then the following lemma is proved in [11, Page 5].

**Lemma 2.1.**

$$\mathrm{tr}_{k(\zeta_{p^{n+2}})/k(\zeta_{p^{n+1}})} c_{n+2,z} = -c_{n,z}.$$

We also use the same notation $c_{n,z}$ for $\mathrm{tr}_{k(\zeta_{p^n})/k_{n-1}} c_{n,z} \in \mathfrak{m}_{k,n-1}$ as well. Let $k = k^m$ be unramified $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We sometimes write $k_{n,m}$ for the above defined $k_n$ with this $k = k^m$. Let $\Lambda_{n,m} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathrm{Gal}(k_{n,m}/\mathbb{Q}_p)]$.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For even $n$'s one can choose a system $\{c_{n,m}\}_{n,m}$ for $c_{n,m} \in \hat{E}^+[\mathfrak{m}_{k_{n,m}}]$ such that*

$$\mathrm{tr}_{k_{n,m+1}/k_{n,m}} c_{n,m+1} = c_{n,m},$$

$$\mathrm{tr}_{k_{n,m}/k_{n-2,m}} c_{n,m} = -c_{n-2,m}.$$

*Proof.* This can be done in the following way: choose $d := \{d_m\}_m \in \varprojlim_m \mathcal{O}_{k^m}$ where the transition is given by the trace map such that $d$ generates this inverse limit over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[U]]$ (existence is guaranteed by the normal basis theorem). If $d_m = \sum_j a_{m,j}\zeta_j$ where $\zeta_j$ are roots of unity and $a_{m,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Define $c_{n,m} = \sum a_{m,j} c_{n,\zeta_j}$. We prove the first identity and the second one is a consequence of the above lemma.

For any $z = \zeta_j$ a root of unity whose conductor is prime to $p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_z^{\varphi^{-n}}(z^{\varphi^{-n}}(\zeta_{p^n} - 1)) &= f_z^{\varphi^{2k-n-1}} \circ f_z^{\varphi^{2k-n-2}} \circ \cdots \circ f_z^{\varphi^{-n}}(z^{\varphi^{-n}}(\zeta_{p^n} - 1)) \\ &= f_z^{\varphi^{2k-n-1}} \circ f_z^{\varphi^{2k-n-2}} \circ \cdots \circ f_z^{\varphi^{1-n}}(z^{\varphi^{-n}}(z^{\varphi^{-n}}(\zeta_{p^{n-1}} - 1)) \\ &= \cdots \\ &= z^{\varphi^{2k-n}}(\zeta_{p^{n-2k}} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

if $2m < n$ and equals 0 otherwise. So

$$\begin{aligned}\log_{\hat{E}} c_{n,m} &= \sum_{i,j}(-1)^{i-1}\cdot a_{m,j}\zeta_j^{\varphi^{-(n+2i)}}\cdot p^i + \sum_j\sum_{2k<n}(-1)^k a_{m,j}\frac{\zeta_j^{\varphi^{2k-n}}(\zeta_{p^{n-2k}}-1)}{p^k}\\ &= \sum_i(-1)^{i-1}p^i(d_m)^{\varphi^{-(n+2i)}} + \sum_{2k<n}\frac{(-1)^k(\zeta_{p^{n-2k}}-1)}{p^k}(d_m)^{\varphi^{2k-n}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\log_{\hat{E}} \mathrm{tr}_{m/m-1}c_{n,m} &= \sum_i(-1)^{i-1}p^i(\mathrm{tr}d_m)^{\varphi^{-(n+2i)}} + \sum_{2k<n}\frac{(-1)^k(\zeta_{p^{n-2k}}-1)}{p^k}(\mathrm{tr}d_m)^{\varphi^{2k-n}}\\ &= \sum_i(-1)^{i-1}p^i d_{m-1}^{\varphi^{-(n+2i)}} + \sum_{2k<n}\frac{(-1)^k(\zeta_{p^{n-2k}}-1)}{p^k}d_{m-1}^{\varphi^{2k-n}}\\ &= \log_{\hat{E}} c_{n,m-1}.\end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 2.3.** *Let $n$ be an even number. Define*

$$\Lambda^+_{n,m} = \Lambda_{n,m}/\omega_n^+(X),$$
$$\Lambda^-_{n,m} = \Lambda_{n,m}/X\omega_n^-(X).$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *We have the following exact sequence*

$$0 \to \hat{E}(p\mathcal{O}_{k^m}) \to \Lambda^+_{n,m}c_{n,m}\oplus\Lambda^-_{n,m}c_{n-1,m} \to \hat{E}^+(\mathfrak{m}_{k_{n,m}}) \to 0.$$

*The middle term is isomorphic to $\Lambda^+_{n,m}\oplus\Lambda^-_{n,m}$. The $c_{n,m}$ generates $\hat{E}[\mathfrak{m}_{k_{n,m}}]$ as a $\Lambda^+_{n,m}$-module.*

*Proof.* The surjectivity to $\hat{E}^+(\mathfrak{m}_{k_{n,m}})$ is essentially proved in [11, Proposition 2.6] (compare also to the computations in the previous lemma). The other parts are easily proven (compare also with [16, Proposition 8.12]). □

Now we define the two-variable +-Coleman maps

$$H^1(k_{n,m},T)/H^1_+(k_{n,m},T) \simeq \Lambda^+_{m,n}$$

where $H^1_+(k_{n,m},T)$ is the exact annihilator of $E^+(k_{n,m})\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ under the Tate pairing. We define $P^+_{c_{n,m}}$ by

$$z \mapsto \sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Gal}(k_{n,m}/\mathbb{Q}_p)}(c^\sigma_{n,m},z)_{m,n}\sigma.$$

As is seen in [16, Proposition 8.19] the image of $P^+_{c_{n,m}}$ is contained in $\omega_n^-(X)\Lambda_{m,n}$ if we identify $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_n]$ with $\mathbb{Z}_p[X]/\omega_n(X)$ by sending $\gamma$ to $1+X$. We define $\Lambda^+_{m,n} := \Lambda_{m,n}/\omega_n^+(X) \simeq \omega_n^-(X)\Lambda_{m,n}$. The + Coleman map $\mathrm{Col}^+_{n,m}$ is defined to make the following diagram commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(k_{n,m},T) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Col}^+_{n,m}} & \Lambda^+_{n,m}\\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\times\omega_n^-}\\ H^1(k_{n,m},T)/H^1_+(k_{n,m},T) & \xrightarrow{P^+_{c_{n,m}}} & \Lambda_{n,m}\end{array}$$

As is seen in the proof of [11, Theorem 2.7, 2.8] the $\mathrm{Col}^+_{m,n}$ is an isomorphism and they group together to define the following isomorphism.

**Definition 2.5.**

$$\mathrm{Col}^+ : \varprojlim_n \varprojlim_m \frac{H^1(k_{n,m}, T)}{H^1_+(k_{n,m}, T)} \simeq \Lambda.$$

The ++-Selmer group is defined by

$$\mathrm{Sel}^{++}(E/\mathcal{K}_\infty) := \ker\{\varinjlim_{K'} H^1(K', E[p^\infty]) \to \prod_{v|p} \frac{\varinjlim H^1(k_{n,m}, E[p^\infty])}{\varinjlim E^+(k_{n,m}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p} \times \prod_{v\nmid p} \varinjlim H^1(I_v, E[p^\infty])\}$$

and $X^{++}$ its Pontryagin dual.

As noted at the end of [11] there are $p$-adic $L$-functions constructed by Loeffler, which are elements in $\Lambda$.

$$L^{++}_{f,p} := \frac{L_{p,\alpha,\alpha} - L_{p,\alpha,\beta} - L_{p,\beta,\alpha} + L_{p,\beta,\beta}}{4\alpha^2 \log^-_{v_0} \log^-_{\bar{v}_0}}$$

$$L^{+-}_{f,p} := \frac{L_{p,\alpha,\alpha} + L_{p,\alpha,\beta} - L_{p,\beta,\alpha} - L_{p,\beta,\beta}}{4\alpha \log^-_{v_0} \log^+_{\bar{v}_0}}$$

$$L^{-+}_{f,p} := \frac{L_{p,\alpha,\alpha} - L_{p,\alpha,\beta} + L_{p,\beta,\alpha} - L_{p,\beta,\beta}}{4\alpha \log^+_{v_0} \log^-_{\bar{v}_0}}$$

$$L^{--}_{f,p} := \frac{L_{p,\alpha,\alpha} + L_{p,\alpha,\beta} + L_{p,\beta,\alpha} + L_{p,\beta,\beta}}{4 \log^+_{v_0} \log^+_{\bar{v}_0}}$$

for $L_{p,\alpha,\alpha}$ interpolating

$$\alpha^{-\mathrm{ord}_{v_0}\mathfrak{f}_\chi} \alpha^{-\mathrm{ord}_{\bar{v}_0}\mathfrak{f}_\chi} \frac{L(E, \chi, 1)}{\mathfrak{g}(\chi) \cdot |\mathfrak{f}_\chi| \cdot \Omega^+_E \Omega^-_E}$$

for $\chi$ a character of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_\infty/\mathcal{K})$ and $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$ its conductor and similarly for the other three. (Here the roles played by $\pm$ are switched from [11] and is compatible with [16]). The $\log^-_{v_0}$ and $\log^-_{\bar{v}_0}$ will be defined at the beginning of subsection 3.3. Now we are ready to formulate the two-variable "++" main conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.6.** *The two variable ++- main conjecture states that $X^{++}$ is a torsion $\Lambda_\mathcal{K}$-module and the characteristic ideal of $X^{++}$ is generated by $L^{++}_{f,p}$ as an ideal of $\Lambda_\mathcal{K}$.*

We also refer to the *weak version* of the above conjecture by requiring that for any height one prime $P$ of $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma \times \Gamma^-]]$ which is not a pullback of a height one prime of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma^-]]$, the length of $X^{++}_P$ over $\Lambda_P$ is equal to $\mathrm{ord}_P L^{++}_p$.

Now we record a useful lemma.

**Lemma 2.7.** *The $\varprojlim_n \varprojlim_m H^1(k_{n,m}, T)$ is a free of rank two module over $\Lambda$ and $H^1(k_{n,m}, T)$ is a free rank two module over $\Lambda_{n,m}$.*

*Proof.* We first note that $T/pT$ is an irreducible module over $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ [4]. Then it follows from the Euler characteristic formula that $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T/pT)$ is a rank two $\mathbb{F}_p$ vector space. On the other hand one can prove that the inverse limit in the lemma has generic rank two over $\Lambda$ (see e.g. in [22, appendix A]). Thus the first statement is true. The other statement is seen by noting that $H^1(k_{n,m}, T) = \varprojlim_n \varprojlim_m H^1(k_{n,m}, T)/(\gamma^n - 1, u^m - 1) \varprojlim_n \varprojlim_m H^1(k_{n,m}, T)$, which again follows from the irreducibility of $T/pT$ as a $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-module and the Galois cohomology long exact sequence. □

For the purpose of later argument we need one more regulator map $\mathrm{LOG}^+$. We construct it in an explicit way. By the freeness of $H^1(k_{n,m}, T)$ over $\Lambda_{n,m}$ and that $\omega^+(X)c_{n,m} = 0$, we see that for any even $n$ there is $b_{n,m} \in H^1(k_{n,m}, T)$ such that $\omega_n^-(X) \cdot b_{n,m} = (-1)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} c_{n,m}$. It is easily seen that one can choose the $b_{n,m}$'s such that $\mathrm{tr}_{k_{n,m}/k_{n-1,m}} b_{n,m} = b_{n-1,m}$ and $\mathrm{tr}_{k_{n,m}/k_{n,m-1}} b_{n,m} = b_{n,m-1}$.

**Lemma 2.8.** *$H^1_+(k_{n,m}, T)$ is a free $\Lambda_{n,m}$-module of rank one generated by $b_{n,m}$.*

*Proof.* For $y \in E(k_{n,m}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $x \in H^1_+(k_{n,m}, T)$ we can show that

$$\langle \omega_n^-(X) \cdot x, y \rangle = 0$$

from [11, Proposition 2.6] and [16, (8.29)]. Moreover we have for any $n' > 0$,

$$E(k_{n,m})/p^{n'} E(k_{n,m}) \hookrightarrow H^1(k_{n,m}, T/p^{n'}T)$$

has $\mathbb{Z}_p/p^{n'}\mathbb{Z}_p$-torsion-free cokernel since each term is free $\mathbb{Z}_p/p^{n'}\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank. So $E(k_{n,m})$ and $E(k_{n,m}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ are orthogonal complements of each other under local Tate pairing. So

$$\omega_n^-(X) \cdot x \in \omega_n^-(X) H^1(k_{n,m}, T) \cap \mathrm{Im}(E(k_{n,m}) \to H^1(k_{n,m}, T)).$$

By Lemma 2.4 we have $\omega_n^-(X)x \in \Lambda_{n,m} c_{n,m}$. This proves the lemma. □

Let $x = \varprojlim_n \varprojlim_m x_{n,m} \in \varprojlim_n \varprojlim_m H^1_+(k_{n,m}, T)$. If $x_{n,m} = f_{n,m} \cdot b_{n,m}$ for $f \in \Lambda_{n,m}$ then $\sum_{\tau \in \Gamma_n \times U_m} x_{n,m}^\tau \cdot \tau = f_{n,m} \cdot \sum_\tau b_{n,m}^\tau \cdot \tau$.

**Definition 2.9.** *We define* $\mathrm{LOG}^+ : \varprojlim_n \varprojlim_m H^1_+(k_{n,m}, T) \simeq \Lambda$ *by* $x \to \varprojlim_n \varprojlim_m f_{n,m}$.

Now recall that $v_0$ splits into $p^t$ primes in $\mathcal{K}_\infty/\mathcal{K}$. We take a representative $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{p^t}$ be a representative of $\Gamma_\mathcal{K}/\Gamma_p$. Write

$$H^1(\mathcal{K}_{v_0}, T \otimes \Lambda_\mathcal{K}) = \oplus_i H^1(\mathcal{K}_{v_0}, T \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_p]]) \cdot \gamma_i.$$

We define

$$\mathrm{Col}^+ x = \sum_i \gamma_i \cdot (\mathrm{Col}^+ x_i) \in \Lambda_\mathcal{K}.$$

We define $\mathrm{LOG}^+$ similarly on $H^1_+(\mathcal{K}_{v_0}, T \otimes \Lambda_\mathcal{K})$. The following proposition will be useful.

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let $\phi$ be a finite order character of $\Gamma \times U$ such that $\phi(\gamma)$ and $\phi(u)$ are primitive $p^n$, $p^m$-th roots of unity. Then for integer $m$ and even $n$,*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_n \times U_m} \log_{\hat{E}} x_{n,m}^\sigma \cdot \phi(\sigma) = (-1)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \cdot \frac{\phi(f_{n,m}) \sum \log_{\hat{E}} c_{n,m}^\sigma \phi(\sigma)}{\omega_n^-(\phi)}. \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\Gamma_n\times U_m}\log_{\hat{E}}(c_{n,m})^{\sigma}\phi(\sigma)=\mathfrak{g}(\phi|_{\Gamma})\cdot\phi(u)^n\cdot\sum_{u'\in U_m}\phi(u')d_m^{u'}. \tag{2}$$

$$P^{+}_{c_{n,m}}(z)=(\sum_{\sigma}\log_{\hat{E}}(c^{\sigma}_{n,m})\cdot\sigma)(\sum_{\sigma}\exp^{*}(z^{\sigma})\cdot\sigma^{-1}). \tag{3}$$

*Proof.* Straightforward computation. The third identity used the description of the Tate pairing in [18, Page 5]. □

## 2.2 The One Variable Main Conjecture of Kobayashi

Now we briefly recall Kobayashi's one variable (cyclotomic) main conjecture. On the analytic side there is a + $p$-adic $L$-function $\mathcal{L}^{+}_{E,\mathbb{Q}}$ which is just our $\mathcal{L}^{++}_{f,p}$ evaluated at $\gamma^{-}=1$. On the other hand we define the +-Selmer group

$$\mathrm{Sel}^{+}_{E,\mathbb{Q},n}:=\ker\{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n},E[p^{\infty}])\to\prod_{v|p}\frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n},E[p^{\infty}])}{E^{+}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n})\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p}\times\prod_{v\nmid p}H^1(I_v,E[p^{\infty}])\}.$$

Define $X^{+}_{E,\mathbb{Q}}:=(\varinjlim_{n}\mathrm{Sel}^{+}_{E,\mathbb{Q},n})^{*}$. This is a module over $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

**Conjecture 2.11.** *Kobayashi's main conjecture states that $X^{+}_{E,\mathbb{Q}}$ is a torsion $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$-module and the characteristic ideal of $X^{+}_{E,\mathbb{Q}}$ is generated by $\mathcal{L}^{+}_{E,\mathbb{Q}}$ as ideals of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Kobayashi proved one containment $(\mathcal{L}^{+}_{E,\mathbb{Q}})\subseteq\mathrm{char}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}}(X^{+}_{E,\mathbb{Q}})$ in [16], using results of Kato [10].

## 2.3 A Greenberg-Type Main Conjecture

As mentioned in the introduction we have proved ([34], [35]) an Iwasawa main conjecture for Rankin-Selberg $p$-adic $L$-function for f and a CM form $g$ of weight higher than $f$. We first recall how the Selmer group in this case is defined.

**Definition 2.12.**

$$\mathrm{Sel}^{2}_{\mathcal{K},f}=\ker\{H^1(\mathcal{K},T\otimes\Lambda^{*}(\Psi))\to\prod_{v\nmid p}H^1(\mathcal{K}_v,T\otimes\Lambda^{*}(\Psi))\times H^1(\mathcal{K}_{\bar{v}_0},T\otimes\Lambda^{*}(\Psi)).$$

$$X^{2}_{\mathcal{K},f}:=(\mathrm{Sel}^{2}_{\mathcal{K},f})^{*}.$$

On the analytic side there is a corresponding $p$-adic $L$-function $\mathcal{L}^{2}_{f,\mathcal{K}}\in\mathrm{Frac}(W(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p))[[\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}]])$ with the following interpolation property ([35, Section 4]). For a Zariski dense set of arithmetic points $\phi\in\mathrm{Spec}\Lambda$ such that $\xi_{\phi}:=\phi\circ\Psi$ is the avatar of a Hecke character of infinite type $(\frac{\kappa}{2},-\frac{\kappa}{2})$ with $\kappa\geq 6$ we have

$$\phi(\mathcal{L}^{2}_{f,\mathcal{K}})=C\frac{p^{(\kappa-3)t}\xi^{-2}_{2,p}\chi^{-1}_{1,p}\chi^{-1}_{2,p}(p^{-t})\mathfrak{g}(\xi_{2,p-1}\chi^{-1}_{1,p})\mathfrak{g}(\xi^{-1}_{2,p}\chi^{-1}_{2,p})L^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{K},\pi_f,\bar{\xi}^{c}_{\phi},\frac{\kappa}{2}-\frac{1}{2})(\kappa-1)!(\kappa-2)!\Omega^{2\kappa}_{p}}{(2\pi i)^{2\kappa-1}\Omega^{2\kappa}_{\infty}}.$$

Here $\Omega_\infty$ and $\Omega_p$ are the CM periods and $p$-adic periods for $\mathcal{K}$. The $C$ is a constant in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}_{p}$, $\chi_{1,p},\chi_{2,p}$ is such that the unitary representation $\pi_{f_\phi}\simeq\pi(\chi_{1,p},\chi_{2,p})$ with $\mathrm{val}_p(\chi_{1,p}(p))=-\frac{1}{2}$, $\mathrm{val}(\chi_{2,p}(p))=\frac{1}{2}$. This $p$-adic $L$-function can also be constructed by Rankin-Selberg method as in [7]. See [34, Remark

7.2] for a detailed discussion. In fact Hida's construction gives an element in $\mathrm{Frac}(\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}})$ and the above $\mathcal{L}_{f,\mathcal{K}}$ is obtained by multiplying Hida's by a Katz $p$-adic $L$-function $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}^{Katz} \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}]]$ and the class number $h_{\mathcal{K}}$ of $\mathcal{K}$. The $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}^{Katz}$ interpolates algebraic part of special $L$-values $L(0, \chi_\phi \chi_\phi^{-c})$ where $\chi_\phi$ are CM characters of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ (see [8]).

Recall we have chosen $d = \varprojlim_m d_m \in \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_{k^m}^\times$ where the transition map is the trace map. We define $F_{d,2} \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[U]]$ as

$$\varprojlim_m \sum_{u \in U_v/p^m U_v} d_m^u \cdot u^2.$$

Then the discussion in [18, Section 6.4] on Katz $p$-adic $L$-functions (see also the discussion in Section 3.2 of *loc.cit*) implies that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}^{Katz}/F_{d,2}$ is actually an element in $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}]]\backslash\{0\}$ (Note the coefficients. Also the square comes from the fact that $\chi \mapsto \chi\chi^{-c}$ induces square map on anticyclotomic characters). So there is an $\mathcal{L}'_{f,\mathcal{K}} \in \mathrm{Frac}\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}'_{f,\mathcal{K}} \cdot F_{d,2} = \mathcal{L}_{f,\mathcal{K}}^2.$$

We have the following [35]

**Theorem 2.13.** *Assume $E$ has square-free conductor $N$ and there is at least one prime $\ell|N$ where $\mathcal{K}$ is non-split. Suppose moreover that $E[p]|_{G_{\mathcal{K}}}$ is absolutely irreducible. Then the characteristic ideal of $X_{\mathcal{K},f}^2$ is contained in the fractional ideal generated by $\mathcal{L}'_{f,\mathcal{K}}$ as ideals of $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$.*

We similarly have a *weak version* of this theorem by requiring the inequality for any height one prime $P$ of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}$ which is not a pullback of a height one prime of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma^-]]$ instead of for all height one primes.

**Remark 2.14.** *The proof of Theorem 2.13 uses Eisenstein congruences on the unitary group $U(3,1)$. The Galois representations studied there satisfy the so called "Panchishkin condition" in [5]. As a result the formulation is very similar to the ordinary case. This is the reason why the difficulties mentioned in the introduction disappear in this $U(3,1)$ argument.*

# 3 Beilinson-Flach Elements

## 3.1 Some Preliminaries

We write $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma^-]] = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]], \gamma^- \mapsto 1+T$. Let $\mathbf{g}$ be the Hida family of normalized CM forms attached to characters of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ with the coefficient ring $\Lambda_{\mathbf{g}} := \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$. We write $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{g}}$ for the fraction ring of $\Lambda_{\mathbf{g}}$. As in [13] let $M(f)^*$ ($M(\mathbf{g})^*$) be the part of the cohomology of the modular curves which is the Galois representation associated to $f$ ($\mathbf{g}$). The corresponding coefficients for $M(f)^*$ and $M(\mathbf{g})^*$ is $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{g}}$. (Note that the Hida family $\mathbf{g}$ is not quite a Hida family considered in *loc.cit.* It plays the role of a branch $\mathbf{a}$ there). Note also that $\mathbf{g}$ is cuspidal (which is called "generically non-Eisenstein" in an earlier version) in the sense of [15]. We have $M(\mathbf{g})^*$ is a rank two $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{g}}$ vector space and, there is a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{g}}$ vector spaces with $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ action:

$$0 \to \mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{g}}^- \to M(\mathbf{g})^* \to \mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{g}}^+ \to 0$$

with $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{g}}^\pm$ being rank one $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{g}}$ vector spaces such that the Galois action on $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{g}}^-$ is unramified. Since $\mathbf{g}$ is a CM family with $p$ splits in $\mathcal{K}$, the above exact sequence in fact splits as $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. For an arithmetic

specialization $g_\phi$ of $g$ the Galois representation $M(f)^* \otimes M(g_\phi)^*$ is the induced representation from $G_\mathcal{K}$ to $G_\mathbb{Q}$ of $M(f)^* \otimes \xi_{\mathbf{g}_\phi}$ where $\xi_{\mathbf{g}_\phi}$ is the Hecke character corresponding to $\mathbf{g}_\phi$. This identification will be used implicitly later. We also write $D_{dR}(f) = (M(f)^* \otimes B_{dR})^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$. We will write $H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathcal{K}_\infty, -) := \varprojlim_{\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}' \subseteq \mathcal{K}_\infty} H^1(\mathcal{K}', -)$. The transition map is given by co-restriction. For $f$ let $D_{dR}(f)$ be the Dieudonne module for $M(f)^*$ and let $\eta_f^\vee$ be any basis of $\mathrm{Fil}^0 D_{dR}(f)$. Let $\omega_f^\vee$ be a basis of $\frac{D_{dR}(f)}{\mathrm{Fil}^0 D_{dR}(f)}$ such that $\langle \omega_f^\vee, \omega_f \rangle = 1$.

### 3.2 Yager modules

We mainly follow [18] to present the theory of Yager modules. Let $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite unramified extension. For $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ we define $y_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_p)} x^\sigma [\sigma] \in \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{K}[\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_p)]$ (note our convention is slightly different from [18]). Let $\mathbb{Q}_p^{ur}/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be an unramified $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension with Galois group $U$. Then the above map induces an isomorphism of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_F}(U)$-modules

$$y_{F_\infty/F} : \varprojlim_{\mathbb{Q}_p \subseteq K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^{ur}} \mathcal{O}_F \simeq \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{ur}/\mathbb{Q}_p} = \{ f \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[U]] : f^u = [u] f \}$$

for any $u \in U$ a topological generator. Here the superscript means $u$ acting on the coefficient ring while $[u]$ means multiplying by the group-like element $u^{-1}$. The module $S_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{ur}/\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is called the Yager module. It is explained in *loc.cit* that the $S_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{ur}/\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a free rank one module over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a representation of $U$ then they defined a map $\rho : \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[U]] \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur})$ by mapping $u$ to its action on $\mathcal{F}$ and extend linearly. As is noted in *loc.cit* the image of elements in the Yager module is in $(\mathcal{F} \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur})^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$. Recall also that

$$d := \varprojlim_m d_m \in \varprojlim_m \mathcal{O}_{k^m}^\times$$

defined in the proof of Lemma 2.2 is a generator of the Yager module for $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Then we can define $\rho(d)$ and let $\rho(d)^\vee$ be the element in $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[U]]$ which is the inverse of $\varprojlim_m \sum_{\sigma \in U/p^m U} d_m^\sigma \cdot \sigma^{-1}$. We have the following

**Lemma 3.1.** *(1)*

$$\frac{1}{\varprojlim_m \sum_{\sigma \in U/p^m U} d_m^\sigma \cdot \sigma^{-1}} \in \mathcal{S}_\infty.$$

*(2)*

$$\varprojlim_m \sum_{\sigma \in U/p^m U} d_m^\sigma \cdot \sigma^2 \in (\varprojlim_m \sum_{\sigma \in U/p^m U} d_m^\sigma \cdot \sigma)^2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}_p[[U]]^\times.$$

*Proof.* Straightforward computation on the Galois action. □

### 3.3 Beilinson-Flach elements

Unlike Kato's zeta element, the Beilinson-Flach elements constructed in [13] are not in the Iwasawa cohomology (in fact they are unbounded classes). So we need to construct from them a bounded family of classes. Our construction can be viewed as a Galois cohomology analogue of Pollack's construction of the $\pm$ $p$-adic $L$-function.

We first define

$$\log_p^-(X) := \frac{1}{p}\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\Phi_{2m-1}(1+X)}{p},$$

$$\log_p^+(X) := \frac{1}{p}\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\Phi_{2m}(1+X)}{p}.$$

Write $X_{v_0} = \gamma_{v_0} - 1$ and $X_{\bar{v}_0} = \gamma_{\bar{v}_0} - 1$. We write $\log_{\bar{v}_0}^{\pm}$ for $\log_p^{\pm}(X_{\bar{v}_0})$ and $\log_{v_0}^{\pm}$ for $\log_p^{\pm}(X_{v_0})$ as elements of $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}_p[[\Gamma_{v_0} \times U]] = \mathbb{Q}_p[[\Gamma_{\bar{v}_0} \times U]]$. We use $\mathbb{Z}_p[[U]] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[Y]]$ mapping $u$ to $1+Y$.

**Definition 3.2.** *Let $r = \frac{1}{2}$ and define $\mathcal{H}_r(X)$ to be power serie in $\mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]$ of growth $O(\log_p^{\frac{1}{2}})$ consisting of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$ such that $\max\{p^{-[\frac{1}{2}\ell(n)]}|a_n|_p\}_n < \infty$ where $\ell(n)$ is the smallest integer $m$ such that $p^m > n$ (see [18]). This is equipped with a norm on it: $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$ has norm $\max\{p^{-[\frac{1}{2}\ell(n)]}|a_n|_p\}_n$. Our $\mathcal{H}_r$ is the Mellin Transform*

$$\int_{t\in\mathbb{Z}_p}(1+X)^t d\mu$$

*of $r$-admissible distributions $d\mu$ defined in* loc.cit. *Let $\mathcal{H}_{r,0} := \mathbb{Z}_p[[Y]] \otimes \mathcal{H}_r(X_{v_0})$. We also define $\mathcal{H}_{0,r}$ to be the completed tensor product $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Y]]\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_r(X_{\bar{v}_0})$ with respect to the obvious norm on $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$ and the norm of $\mathcal{H}_r$ mentioned above (note that the definitions for $\mathcal{H}_{r,0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0,r}$ are not symmetric).*

We see that $\log_{v_0}^- \in \mathcal{H}_{r,0}$ and $\log_{\bar{v}_0}^- \in \mathcal{H}_{0,r}$. In [17] the authors defined Beilinson-Flach elements $BF_\alpha$ and $BF_{-\alpha}$ for $f_\alpha$ and $f_{-\alpha}$, as elements in $\mathcal{H}_{r,0} \otimes H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty, M(f)^* \otimes M(\mathbf{g})^*)$.

Now we recall some notations in [21]. Let $ES_p(D_\mathcal{K}) := \varprojlim_r H^1(X_1(D_\mathcal{K}p^r) \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $GES_p(D_\mathcal{K}) := \varprojlim_r H^1(Y_1(D_\mathcal{K}p^r) \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ which are modules equipped with Galois action of $G_\mathbb{Q}$. Here $X_1(D_\mathcal{K}p^r)$ and $Y_1(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{K}p^r)$ are corresponding compact and non-compact modular curves. Recall in *loc.cit* there is an ordinary idempotent $e^*$ associated to the covariant Hecke operator $U_p$. Let $\mathfrak{A}_\infty^* = e^*ES_p(D_\mathcal{K})^{I_p} = e^*GES_p(D_\mathcal{K})^{I_p}$ (see the Theorem in *loc.cit*). Let $\mathfrak{B}_\infty^*$ ($\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_\infty^*$) be the quotient of $e^*ES_p(D_\mathcal{K})$ ($e^*GES_p(D_\mathcal{K})$) over $\mathfrak{A}_\infty^*$.

In an earlier version of [15] the authors defined elements $\omega_\mathbf{g}^\vee \in (\mathscr{F}_\mathbf{g}^+(\chi_\mathbf{g}^{-1}) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur})^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ and $\eta_\mathbf{g}^\vee \in (\mathscr{F}_\mathbf{g}^- \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur})^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$. Here the $\chi_\mathbf{g}$ is the central character for $\mathbf{g}$. We briefly recall the definitions since they are more convenient for our use (these notions are replaced by their dual in the current version of [15]). In the nature isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{A}_\infty^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[T]] \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}}(S^{ord}(D_\mathcal{K}, \chi_\mathcal{K}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[T]]), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[T]])$$

above, the $\omega_\mathbf{g}^\vee$ is corresponds to the functional which maps each normalized eigenform to 1. On the other hand $\eta_\mathbf{g}^\vee$ is defined to be the element in $\mathfrak{B}_\infty^*$ which, under the pairing in [21, Theorem 2.3.5], pairs with $\omega_\mathbf{g}^\vee$ to the product of local root numbers at primes to $p$ places of $\mathbf{g}$. This product moves $p$-adic analytically and is a unit.

Let $v_1, v_2$ be a $\Lambda$ basis of $H^1(\mathcal{K}_{\infty,\bar{v}_0}, M(f)^* \otimes \Lambda(-\Psi))$. Then there are $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{H}_r(X_{v_0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{v_0}]]} \mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{v_0}, T]]$ and some $f_0 \in \mathrm{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]])\backslash\{0\}$ such that $BF_\alpha - BF_{-\alpha} = \alpha \cdot f_0(f_1 v_1 + f_2 v_2)$. Let

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{V_f}^G : H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, M(f)^* \otimes \Lambda(-\Psi)) \to \oplus_{i=1}^{p^t}(\mathcal{H}_{0,r} \otimes D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_f)) \cdot \gamma_i$$

be the regulator map defined in [18, Theorem 4.7]. (We know $\mathcal{L}(v_i) \in \mathcal{H}_{0,r}$ by [18, Proposition 4.8]). We write $\mathrm{Pr}^\alpha$ and $\mathrm{Pr}^{-\alpha}$ for the projection map from $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_f)$ to the $\alpha$ or $-\alpha$ eigenspace

for Frobenius action $\varphi$ (as numbers, with respect to the basis given by the image of the Neron differential $\omega_E$ in the $\pm\alpha$-eigenspaces of $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_f)$). Let

$$\mathcal{L}^+ = \frac{\mathrm{Pr}^\alpha - \mathrm{Pr}^{-\alpha}}{2\alpha} \circ \mathcal{L},\ \mathcal{L}^+ = \frac{\mathrm{Pr}^\alpha + \mathrm{Pr}^{-\alpha}}{2} \circ \mathcal{L}.$$

Then by Proposition 3.4 in the following, we have

$$f_0 f_1 \mathcal{L}^+(v_1) + f_0 f_2 \mathcal{L}^+(v_2) = \log_{v_0}^- \log_{\bar{v}_0}^- L_{f,p}^{++},$$

$$f_0 f_1 \mathcal{L}^-(v_1) + f_0 f_2 \mathcal{L}^-(v_2) = \log_{v_0}^- \log_{\bar{v}_0}^+ L_{f,p}^{+-},$$

We have the following

**Lemma 3.3.** *We have* $f_1, f_2 \in \log_{v_0}^- \cdot \mathrm{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{v_0}, T]])$.

*Proof.* We first claim that $\det \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}^+(v_1) & \mathcal{L}^+(v_2) \\ \mathcal{L}^-(v_1) & \mathcal{L}^-(v_2) \end{pmatrix}$ is not identically zero. Suppose it is not the case. Then we have

$$\log_{\bar{v}_0}^- L_{f,p}^{++} \cdot \mathcal{L}^-(v_1) - \log_{\bar{v}_0}^+ L_{f,p}^{+-} \cdot \mathcal{L}^+(v_1) = 0,$$

$$\log_{\bar{v}_0}^- L_{f,p}^{++} \cdot \mathcal{L}^-(v_2) - \log_{\bar{v}_0}^+ L_{f,p}^{+-} \cdot \mathcal{L}^+(v_2) = 0.$$

Let $\zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_s$ be the zeros of $\log_p^-$ such that $X_{\bar{v}_0} - \zeta_i$ is a divisor of $L_{f,p}^{+-}$ (easily seen to be a finite set since $L_{f,p}^{+-}$ is not identically zero). Then for any other root $\zeta$ of $\log_p^-$, $\mathcal{L}^+(v_1)$ restricts to the zero function at the line $X_{\bar{v}_0} = \zeta$. If we expand $\mathcal{L}^+(v_1)$ as a power series in $X_{\bar{v}_0}$ and $U$, then by Weierstrass preparation theorem (see [37, Theorem 7.3]), the coefficient for $U^m$ (any $m$) is $\log_{\bar{v}_0}^- / \prod (X_{\bar{v}_0} - \zeta_i)$ times some element in $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{\bar{v}_0}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ whose coefficients are uniformly bounded (bound also independent of $m$). (See [24, Proof of Theorem 5.1]). Thus $\mathcal{L}^+(v_1) \in \log_{\bar{v}_0}^- \cdot \mathrm{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{\bar{v}_0}, U]])$ We can apply the same argument to all $\mathcal{L}^\pm(v_i)$ and get

$$\mathcal{L}^+(v_i) \in \log_{\bar{v}_0}^- \cdot \mathrm{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{\bar{v}_0}, U]]),$$

$$\mathcal{L}^-(v_i) \in \log_{\bar{v}_0}^+ \cdot \mathrm{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{\bar{v}_0}, U]]).$$

But $\det \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}^+(v_1) & \mathcal{L}^+(v_2) \\ \mathcal{L}^-(v_1) & \mathcal{L}^-(v_2) \end{pmatrix} = 0$. This contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{L}$ is injective, which is proved in [18, Proposition 4.11].

Now let us return to the proof of the lemma. Fix $k = 1$ or 2. If $f_k$ is identically 0 then nothing is needed. If not, recall we fixed representatives $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{p^t}$ of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}/\Gamma_p$. Then from the claim there is an $a \in \mathbb{C}_p$, $|a|_p < 1$ such that

$$0 \neq f_k|_{X_{\bar{v}_0}=a} \in \oplus_{i=1}^{p^t} \log_{v_0}^- \cdot \mathrm{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{v_0}]]) \cdot \gamma_i. \tag{4}$$

It is possible to write $f_k = \sum_j f_{kj}(X_{v_0}) \cdot g_{kj}(X_{v_0}, T)$ (finite sum) where $f_{kj}(X_{v_0}) \in \mathcal{H}_r(X_{v_0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{v_0}]]} \mathrm{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{v_0}]])$ and $g_{kj}(X_{v_0}), T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{v_0}, T]]$ such that either $f_{k1}(X_{v_0}) \in \log_{v_0}^- \cdot \mathrm{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{v_0}]])$ or $\{\log_{v_0}^-\} \cup \{f_{kj}\}$ forms a linearly independent set over $\mathrm{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{v_0}]])$. Then (4) implies we must have $j = 1$ and $f_{k1}(X_{v_0}) \in \log_{v_0}^- \cdot \mathrm{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{v_0}]])$. Thus the lemma is true. □

So there is an element $0 \neq h \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X_{v_0}, Y]]$ such that $h \cdot f_i \in \log_{v_0}^- \cdot \Lambda$. We define the bounded cohomology class

$$BF^+ := \frac{f_1 h}{2\log_{v_0}^-} v_1 + \frac{f_2 h}{2\log_{v_0}^-} v_2 \in H^1_{\mathrm{Iw}}(\mathcal{K}_\infty, M(f)^*) \tag{5}$$

It follows from that the Galois cohomology image of Beilinson-Flach element is geometric that the $BF^+$ maps to $H^1_+(G_{v_0}, M(f)^* \otimes \Lambda(-\Psi)) \subseteq H^1(G_{v_0}, M(f)^* \otimes \Lambda(-\Psi))$, since for any arithmetic point $\phi$ such that $\log_{v_0}^- |_\phi \neq 0$, the class is in the finite part $H^1_f(G_{v_0}, -)$.

We take basis $v^\pm$ of $\mathscr{F}^\pm_{\mathbf{g}}$ with respect to which $\omega^\vee_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\eta^\vee_{\mathbf{g}}$ are $\rho(d)^\vee v^+$ and $\rho(d)v^-$ (see the discussion for Yager Modules). We use this basis to give $\Lambda_{\mathbf{g}}$-integral structure for $M(\mathbf{g})^*$. With this integral structure we can talk about specializing $BF^+$ to arithmetic points $\phi$, provided we remove the set of $\phi$'s in a lower dimensional subspace (the zeroes of the denominator for $BF^+$ with respect to the basis). The following propositions are proved in [13]. In the following we define $\phi$ in a generic set of arithmetic points corresponding to a finite order character of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ to mean all such $\phi$ outside a proper closed sub-scheme of Spec$\Lambda$.

**Proposition 3.4.** *For some $H_0 \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ and $\phi$ in a generic set of arithmetic points corresponding to a primitive character of $\Gamma_n \times U_m$ with $n$ an even number (as local Galois group at $\bar{v}_0$), for any $\alpha, \beta \in \{\pm\sqrt{-p}\}$,*

$$H_0 \cdot \mathrm{Pr}^{\mathscr{F}^+_{\mathbf{g}}} \mathrm{Pr}^\beta(\exp^*_{\bar{v}_0}(\phi(BF_\alpha))) = \frac{\phi(L_{p,\alpha,\beta})\varepsilon(\chi_\phi^{-1})}{(-p)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \eta^\vee_{f,\beta} \otimes \phi(\omega^\vee_{\mathbf{g}}).$$

*Here $\mathrm{Pr}^\beta$ and $\eta^\vee_{f,\beta}$ denote projecting to the $\beta$-eigenspace of $D_{dR}(f)$, $\exp^*$ is the Bloch-Kato dual exponential map. The $\chi_\phi$ means composing $\Psi$ with $\phi$. By class field theory the $\chi_\phi$ can be considered as a character of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$. For the $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathscr{F}^+_{\mathbf{g}}}$, we recall that $M(\mathbf{g})^*$ is split as the direct sum of $\mathscr{F}^+_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\mathscr{F}^-_{\mathbf{g}}$ as Galois modules which are rank one vector spaces over $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{g}}$. So if we exclude the set of $\phi$'s in a lower dimensional space it makes sense to talk about projection to $(\mathscr{F}^-_{\mathbf{g}} \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur})^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ or $(\mathscr{F}^+_{\mathbf{g}}(\chi_{\mathbf{g}}^{-1}) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur})^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ components at $\phi$.*

*Proof.* It follows from the explicit reciprocity law in [13, Theorem 7.1.4, Theorem 7.1.5] together with the interpolation property of the big regulator map. Note that $\alpha^n = \beta^n = (-p)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and that the $\beta_g$ in *loc.cit* corresponds to the $\chi_\phi(u)^n$ part ($u$ being the arithmetic Frobenius) of $\varepsilon(\chi_\phi^{-1})$. □

The proposition has the following corollary using Proposition 2.10.

**Corollary 3.5.** *For some $H_1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ we have*

$$\mathrm{Col}^+_{\bar{v}_0}(BF^+) = h \cdot H_1 \cdot L_p^{++}.$$

*(the $h$ is defined in (5)).*

*Proof.* First recall that $\omega^\vee_{\mathbf{g}}$ is the $\rho(d)^\vee v^+$ for the basis $v^\pm$ we have chosen. If we take $H_1$ to be $\frac{\Omega_E^+ \Omega_E^-}{\langle f, f\rangle}$ times some element in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ (recall $\eta^\vee_f$ is defined up to a scalar). Then the corollary follows. □

**Proposition 3.6.** *There is a non-zero element $0 \neq H_2 \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[T]]$, such that for $\phi$ in a generic set of arithmetic points corresponding to a primitive character of $\Gamma_n \times U_m$ (as Galois group at $v_0$) with $n$ an even number and for $\alpha \in \{\pm\sqrt{-p}\}$,*

$$\phi(H_2)\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathscr{F}^-_{\mathbf{g}}} \log_{v_0} \phi(BF_\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha \cdot (-p)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \phi(\mathcal{L}^2_{f,\mathcal{K}}) \cdot \varepsilon(\chi_\phi^{-1}) \omega^\vee_f \otimes \phi(\eta^\vee_{\mathbf{g}}).$$

*Here* $\log_{v_0}$ *is the Bloch-Kato logarithm map at* $v_0$.

*Proof.* This again follows from [13, Theorem 7.1.4, Theorem 7.1.5]. Note that the arithmetic points at which the interpolation formulas are proved there are not quite the $\phi$'s considered here. In fact those points in *loc.cit* correspond to the product of a finite order character of $\Gamma$ and some character of $U$ which is not of finite order. However the proof there carries out in the completely same way). We also need to compare the $p$-adic $L$-function in *loc.cit* with the one in [35]. In [35] we used the $\Sigma$-primitive $p$-adic $L$-function which is in $\Lambda$ for $\Sigma$ a finite set of primes. The original $p$-adic $L$-function is obtained by putting back the Euler factors at $\Sigma$. We only know a priory it is in the fraction field of $\Lambda$. There is another construction of this $p$-adic $L$-function $\mathcal{L}_{f,\mathcal{K}}^{Urban} \in \Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{g}}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{g}}$ by E.Urban [33] using Rankin-Selberg method. This is the $p$-adic $L$-function used in [13]. However the period there is the Petersson inner product of the normalized eigenforms in $\mathbf{g}$ instead of the CM period. The ratio of these periods is given by $h_{\mathcal{K}} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}^{Katz} \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[T]]$ (see the discussion after Definition 2.12. So we may choose $h_{\mathcal{K}} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}^{Katz}$ times some constant in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times}$ as the $H_2$. The proposition follows. □

**Corollary 3.7.** *For some* $0 \neq H_3 \in \mathrm{Frac}\Lambda_{\mathbf{g}}$ *we have*

$$\mathrm{LOG}_{v_0}^{+}(BF^{+}) = h \cdot H_3 \cdot (\mathcal{L}_{f,\mathcal{K}}^2).$$

*Proof.* Take $H_3$ as $-\frac{1}{H_2}$ and use Proposition 2.10. □

# 4 Proof of Main Results

In this section we first prove a weak version of the two-variable ++ main conjecture, which can be used to deduce the one variable main conjecture of Kobayashi after inverting $p$. To take care of powers of $p$, we need to study the ratio $\frac{c\cdot\omega_{\mathbf{g}}^{\vee}}{\eta_{\mathbf{g}}^{\vee}}$ ($c \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the complex conjugation, will make precise definition for the $c$-action later on), which boils down to studying certain congruence modules. Our idea is appeal to the main conjecture for CM fields proved by Rubin, and an argument of Hida-Tilouine [9] constructing elements in certain anticyclotomic Selmer groups from congruence modules.

## 4.1 The Two Variable Main Conjecture

We first prove the weak version of one side (lower bound for Selmer groups) of Conjecture 2.6. We define a couple of Selmer groups

$$H_3^1(\mathcal{K}, M(f)^* \otimes \Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi)) :=$$
$$\ker\{H^1(\mathcal{K}, M(f)^* \otimes \Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi)) \to \prod_{v\nmid p} H^1(I_v, M(f)^* \otimes \Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi)) \times \frac{H^1(G_{v_0}, M(f)^* \otimes \Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi))}{H_+^1(G_{v_0}, M(f)^* \otimes \Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi))}\},$$

and

$$\mathrm{Sel}_{v_0,+} := \varinjlim_{\mathcal{K}\subseteq\mathcal{K}'\subseteq\mathcal{K}_\infty} \ker\{H^1(\mathcal{K}', M(f)^* \otimes \Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(\Psi) \otimes (\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}})^*) \to \prod_{v\nmid p} H^1(I_v, M(f)^* \otimes \Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(\Psi) \otimes (\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}})^*)$$
$$\times \frac{H^1(G_{v_0}, M(f)^* \otimes \Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(\Psi) \otimes (\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}})^*)}{E^+(\mathcal{K}'_{v_0}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p} \times H^1(G_{\bar{v}_0}, M(f)^* \times \Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(\Psi) \otimes (\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}})^*)\},$$

$$X_{v_0,+} := \mathrm{Sel}^*_{v_0,+}.$$

Recall that $BF^+$ is in $H^1_3(\mathcal{K}, M(f)\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}})$.

**Conjecture 4.1.** *For any height one prime $P$ of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}$ we have the length of*

$$H^1_3(\mathcal{K}, M(f)\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}})/\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}\cdot BF^+$$

*at $\Lambda_P$ is the same as that of $X_{v_0,+}$. We also make the weak version and "one divisibility" version of the above conjecture. (We will see in the proof of next theorem that $H^1_3(\mathcal{K}, M(f)\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}})$ is a torsion free rank one $\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}$-module).*

**Theorem 4.2.** *The weak version of both Conjecture 2.6 and the main conjecture in [35] are equivalent to the conjecture above. Moreover the inequality* $\mathrm{length}_P X^+_{f,\mathcal{K}} \geq \mathrm{ord}_P L^{++}_{f,p}$ *is true under the assumption of Theorem 2.13.*

*Proof.* Note that $H^1_3(G_{\mathcal{K}}, T_f\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi))$ is torsion-free of rank one over $\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}$. This can be seen as follows: the torsion-freeness is obvious. If the rank is at least two, then the kernel of the map from $H^1_3(G_{\mathcal{K}}, T_f\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi))$ to $\frac{H^1(G_{\bar{v}_0}, T_f\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi))}{H^1_+(G_{\bar{v}_0}, T_f\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi))}$ has rank at least one, thus not torsion. Specialize to the cyclotomic line $\gamma^- - 1 = 0$, we see this is impossible by [16, Theorem 7.3 (i)]. So the rank has to be at most one. Now recall that by Corollaries 3.5 and 3.7, the image of $BF^+$ by $\mathrm{Col}^+_{\bar{v}_0}$ and $\mathrm{LOG}^+_{v_0}$ are certain $p$-adic $L$-functions which are not identically zero. It then follows that the kernels of $H^1_3(G_{\mathcal{K}}, T_f\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi)) \to \frac{H^1(G_{\bar{v}_0}, T_f\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi))}{H^1_+(G_{\bar{v}_0}, T_f\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi))}$ and $H^1_+(G_{v_0}, T_f\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi)) \to X_{v_0}$ must be 0.

The above discussion gives the following exact sequences (Poitou-Tate long exact sequence):

$$0 \to H^1_3(G_{\mathcal{K}}, T_f\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi)) \to \frac{H^1(G_{\bar{v}_0}, T_f\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi))}{H^1_+(G_{\bar{v}_0}, T_f\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi))} \to X^{++} \to X_{v_0,+} \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to H^1_3(G_{\mathcal{K}}, T_f\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi)) \to H^1_+(G_{v_0}, T_f\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(-\Psi)) \to X_{v_0} \to X_{v_0,+} \to 0.$$

We know $X^+_{E,\mathbb{Q}}$ is torsion by [16]. So the control theorem Proposition 4.7 in the following implies that $X^{++}$ is torsion over $\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}$. So the rank of $H^1_3(\mathcal{K}, M(f)\otimes\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}})$ must be one. Then the argument is the same as [16, Theorem 7.4], using Corollaries 3.5 and 3.7 and the above exact sequences. □

In fact we can prove the strong version of Conjecture 2.6 by applying Rubin's work on the main conjecture for $\mathcal{K}$. We first study certain Eisenstein components of the modular curve cohomology. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be the Hecke algebra generated by $T_\ell$'s for $\ell\nmid pD_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $U_\ell$'s for $\ell | pD_{\mathcal{K}}$, acting on the space of ordinary cuspidal forms with tame level group $\Gamma_1(D_{\mathcal{K}})$. Let $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{g}}}$ be the localization of $\mathbb{T}$ at the maximal ideal corresponding to $\mathbf{g}$. These Hecke algebras are reduced since $\mathrm{cond}(\chi_{\mathcal{K}}) = D_{\mathcal{K}}$ and the nebentypus of forms congruent to $\mathbf{g}$ must be congruent to $\chi_{\mathcal{K}}$ modulo $p$ and thus conductor must be $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}$ as well. Then the family $\mathbf{g}$ is a component of it. We write the non-CM component $\mathbb{T}_{NCM}$ for the quotient of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{g}}}$ corresponding to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{g}}})$ with all irreducible components corresponding to families of $\mathcal{K}$-CM forms deleted. Let $\mathcal{C}_{CM}\subset\mathbb{T}_{NCM}$ be the congruence ideal generated by $\{t - t_{\mathbf{g}}\}_t$'s for $t$ running over all Hecke operators (including the $U_p$ operator) and $t_{\mathbf{g}}$ is the Hecke eigenvalue for $t$ on $\mathbf{g}$. Then the map $\Lambda_{\mathbf{g}}\to\mathbb{T}_{NCM}/C_{CM}$ is surjective. We let $I_{CM}$ be the kernel of this map.

**Proposition 4.3.** *We have* $\mathrm{ord}_P\mathcal{L}^{Katz}_{\mathcal{K}} \geq \mathrm{Length}_P(\Lambda_{\mathbf{g}}/I_{CM})$ *for any height one prime $P$ of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}$, unless $P$ is the pullback to $\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}} = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma\times\Gamma^-]]$ of the augmentation ideal $(\gamma-1)\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma^-]]$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma^-]]$ (we call these primes "exceptional").*

*Proof.* We note that each irreducible component $B$ of $\mathbb{T}_{NCM}$ the Galois representation $\rho_B : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathrm{Frac}(B))$ has irreducible restriction to $G_{\mathcal{K}}$. This is because there exists classical specialization at that component which is not a CM form with respect to $\mathcal{K}$. Let

$$X_{CM} := H^1_f(\mathcal{K}, \Lambda_{\mathbf{g}}(\chi_{\mathbf{g}}\chi_{\mathbf{g}}^{-c}) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{g}}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{g}}^*)^*$$

where $\chi_{\mathbf{g}}$ denotes the family of CM character corresponding to the family of CM form $\mathbf{g}$. The Selmer condition "$f$" is defined by restricting trivially to $H^1(I_v, -)$ at all primes $v \neq v_0$. Then the "lattice construction" (see [9, Corollary 3.3.6], and see [36] for the construction in the situation here) gives that for any non-exceptional height one prime $P$ of $\Lambda_{\mathbf{g}}$,

$$\mathrm{length}_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{g},P}}(\Lambda_{\mathbf{g}}/I_{CM})_P \leq \mathrm{ord}_P X_{CM}.$$

This construction works unless $P$ corresponds to the pullback of the augmentation ideal in the anticyclotomic line (these cases do not satisfy the [9, (SEP.P)] on page 32 of *loc.cit*). On the other hand, Rubin [27], [28] proved that we have $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}^{Katz}/F_d) = \mathrm{char}(X_{CM})$ (note that $F_d$ is a unit in $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[T]]$). In fact Rubin proved a two-variable main conjecture and we easily have that the two variable dual Selmer group specializes exactly to the one variable anticyclotomic dual Selmer group here. These together imply the proposition. □

Recall we defined basis $v^{\pm}$ of $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{g}}^{\pm}$. Let $c$ be the complex conjugation in $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Recall that $\omega_{\mathbf{g}}^{\vee} = \rho(d)^{\vee} \cdot v^{+}$. If $c \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the complex conjugation we define

$$c \cdot \omega_{\mathbf{g}}^{\vee} = \rho(d)^{\vee}(c \cdot v^{+}) \in (\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{g}}^{-} \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur})^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}.$$

We have the following

**Lemma 4.4.** *We have*

$$\mathrm{ord}_P \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}^{Katz} + \mathrm{ord}_P \frac{c \cdot \omega_{\mathbf{g}}^{\vee}}{\eta_{\mathbf{g}}^{\vee}} \geq 0$$

*for any height one prime $P$ which is not $(p)$ and not "exceptional" as defined in Proposition 4.3. (Note that we have to exclude the prime $(p)$ due to CM components other than $\mathbf{g}$.)*

*Proof.* There is a Hecke operator $1_{\mathbf{g}}$ in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{g}}} \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{g}}} F_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{g}}}$, the non-integral Hecke operator which cuts off the $\mathbf{g}$-part of any Hida family (See [31, 12.2] for details. Note also that $\mathbf{g}$ is generically non-Eisentein meaning that the generic specialization of it is cuspidal). From [21, Theorem and Corollary 2.3.6] we know $\mathfrak{B}_{\infty}^* \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur} \simeq S^{ord}(\Gamma_1(D_{\mathcal{K}}), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[T]])$ (the space of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[T]]$-coefficient ordinary families with tame level $D_{\mathcal{K}}$) as Hecke modules under which $\eta_{\mathbf{g}}^{\vee}$ maps to the normalized eigenform $\mathbf{g}$ (See the choice for them in [15, Theorem 7.4.10]). Note that $\rho(d)$ and $\rho(d)^{\vee}$ are invertible elements in $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}[[T]]$. Note also that $c \cdot \omega_{\mathbf{g}}^{\vee}$ is in the cuspidal part $\mathfrak{B}_{\infty}^* \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur} \subset \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{\infty}^* \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur}$ of the cohomology. So we just need to prove that for any $F \in S^{ord}(\Gamma_1(D_{\mathcal{K}}), \Lambda_{\mathbf{g}})$,

$$\mathrm{ord}_P \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}^{Katz} + \mathrm{ord}_P \frac{1_{\mathbf{g}} \cdot F}{\mathbf{g}} \geq 0 \tag{6}$$

for any non-exceptional primes $P \neq (p)$. This follows from Proposition 4.3: first of all, the $\mathcal{K}$-CM components other than $\mathbf{g}$ corresponds to characters of the Hilbert class group of $\mathcal{K}$. So it is easy to see that there is a $t_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{g}}}$ such that $t_1\mathbf{g} = a_{t_1} \cdot g$ for $a_{t_1}$ being the product of an element

in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ and an element of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]^\times$, and such that $t_1$ kills $\mathcal{K}$-CM components of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_\mathbf{g}}$ other than $\mathbf{g}$. Proposition 4.3 implies that there is an $\ell_\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_\mathbf{g}}$ such that $t_1\ell_\mathbf{g} \cdot F = a\mathbf{g}$ for $a \in \Lambda$ and $\ell_\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{g} = b\mathbf{g}$ with $\mathrm{ord}\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{K}^{Katz} \geq \mathrm{ord}_P b$. But $t_1\ell_\mathbf{g}F = t_1\ell_\mathbf{g}1_\mathbf{g}F = t_1 b 1_\mathbf{g}F = a_{t_1}a\mathbf{g}$. So $\mathrm{ord}_P b + \mathrm{ord}_P \frac{1_\mathbf{g}F}{\mathbf{g}} \geq 0$ and we get (6). □

Now we are ready to prove our theorem.

**Theorem 4.5.** *For any height one prime $P \neq (p)$ of $\Lambda_\mathcal{K}$ which is not exceptional, we have*

$$\mathrm{length}_P X_{f,\mathcal{K}}^{++} \geq \mathrm{ord}_P L_{f,p}^{++}. \tag{7}$$

*Proof.* Completely the same as the proof of Theorem 4.2 except that we also take Lemma 4.4 into consideration (see proof of Proposition 3.6 for where $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{K}^{Katz}$ plays a role). □

To take care of the prime $(p)$ we use the following proposition of Pollack-Weston.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Suppose $N$ is square-free, $a_p = 0$. Suppose moreover that any prime divisor of $N$ either splits in $\mathcal{K}$ or is inert. Assume for any such inert prime $q$ we have $\bar{\rho}|_{G_q}$ is ramified and there are odd number of such inert primes. Then*

$$\mathrm{ord}_{(p)} L_{f,p}^{++} = 0.$$

*Proof.* By [26] the anticyclotomic $\mu$-invariant for the specialization of $L_{f,p}^{++}$ to anticyclotomic line is 0. Note that in *loc.cit* they assumed moreover that

- $\mathrm{Im}(G_\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Aut}(T_E)$.
- The anticyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $\mathcal{K}$ is totally ramified at $p$.

But these assumptions are not necessary: the surjectivity of the Galois representation can be replaced by irreducibility (See [12]). The second assumption is needed only for the vanishing of the algebraic $\mu$-invariant and not needed for the analytic $\mu$-invariant. (We thank Chan-Ho Kim for discussing these with us). □

Now we prove the lower bound for Selmer groups in Conjecture 2.6. Note that the pullback of the augmentation ideal of the anticyclotomic line also does not contain $L_{f,p}^{++}$ since the specialization of the latter to the cyclotomic line is not identically zero. We conclude that under the assumption of Theorem 4.5 and Proposition 4.6 the full one-side inequality for (7) is true.

## 4.2 Kobayashi's Main Conjecture

Now we prove a control theorem for Selmer groups and deduce Kobayashi's one-variable main conjecture from the two variable one.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let $P$ be the prime of $\Lambda_\mathcal{K}$ generated by $T - 1$ then*

$$X^{++} \otimes \Lambda_\mathcal{K}/P \simeq X_{E,\mathcal{K}_{cyc}}^{+}$$

*where the last term is the $+$ dual Selmer group of $E$ over $\mathcal{K}_{cyc}$ defined similar as $X^{++}$.*

*Proof.* This theorem is proved in the same way as [16, Theorem 9.3]. One first proves that $\hat{E}(\mathfrak{m}_{m,n})$ has no $p$-power torsion points as in [16, Proposition 8.7]. This implies that

$$\varprojlim_m \varprojlim_n H^1(k_{m,n}, T) \to H^1(k_{m_0,n_0}, T)$$

is surjective. Then the control theorem follows in the same way as Proposition 9.2 of *loc.cit.* □

*Proof.* (of Theorem 1.3) The above proposition implies the cyclotomic main conjecture over $\mathcal{K}$ under the assumption of Proposition 4.6. Note that since $N$ is square-free, there must be a prime $q$ such that $E[p]|_{G_q}$ is ramified (since otherwise by Ribet's level lowering there will be a weight two cuspidal eigenform with level 1, which can not exist). To prove Theorem 1.3, we just need to choose the auxiliary $\mathcal{K}$. We take $\mathcal{K}$ such that $p$ and all prime divisors of $N$ except $q$ are split in $\mathcal{K}$ while $q$ is inert. This main conjecture over $\mathcal{K}$ together with one divisibility over $\mathbb{Q}$ proved in [16] gives the proof of the main Theorem. Note that in [10] it is assumed that the image of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is $\mathrm{Aut}(T_E) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. However under our assumption that $N$ is square-free it is enough to assume $E[p]|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is absolutely irreducible, as explained in [29, Page 15-16]. The irreducibility of $E[p]|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is proved in [4]. □

Finally we prove the following refined BSD formula.

**Corollary 4.8.** *Suppose $E$ is an elliptic curve with square-free conductor $N$ and supersingular reduction at $p$ such that $a_p = 0$. If $L(E,1) \neq 0$ then we have the following refined BSD formula*

$$\frac{L(E,1)}{\Omega_E} = \sharp Ш_{E/\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \prod_{\ell | N} c_\ell$$

*up to a $p$-adic unit. Here $c_\ell$ is the Tamagawa number of $E$ at $\ell$. Note that by irreducibility of the Galois representation we know the $p$-part of the Mordell-Weil group is trivial.*

*Proof.* This is proved as in [6, Theorem 4.1], replacing the argument for the prime $p$ by [16, Proposition 9.2] for $\mathcal{L}^+_{E,\mathbb{Q}}$. (In fact, all we need to do is to show that the $p$-adic component of the map $g_n$ in the commutative diagram on top of [16, Page 27] is injective, which follows from that (9.33) of *loc.cit* is injective. This is nothing but the Pontryagin dual of Proposition 9.2 there). We use the interpolation formula [16, (3.6)] on the analytic side. Note also the fact that the Iwasawa module of dual Selmer group has no non-trivial subgroup of finite cardinality is also deduced within the proof of [6, Theorem 4.1] and can be obtained in the same way in our situation. □

## References


[1] Breuil, C., Conrad, B., Diamond, F., and Taylor, R. (2001). On the modularity of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$: wild 3-adic exercises. Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 843-939.

[2] Bertolini, Massimo, and Henri Darmon. "Iwasawa's main conjecture for elliptic curves over anticyclotomic Zp-extensions." Annals of mathematics (2005): 1-64.

[3] Darmon, Henri, and Adrian Iovita. "The anticyclotomic Main Conjecture for elliptic curves at supersingular primes." Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu 7.02 (2008): 291-325.

[4] Edixhoven, Bas. The weight in Serre's conjectures on modular forms. Inventiones mathematicae 109.1 (1992): 563-594.

[5] R. Greenberg, Iwasawa theory and p-adic Deformations of Motives, Proc. on Motives held at Seattle, 1994.

[6] Greenberg, Ralph. "Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves." Arithmetic theory of elliptic curves. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999. 51-144.

[7] Hida, H.: On $p$-adic $L$-functions of $\mathrm{GL}(2) \times \mathrm{GL}(2)$ over totally real fields. Ann. Inst. Fourier 40, 311-391 (1991).

[8] Hida, Haruzo, and Jacques Tilouine. "Anti-cyclotomic Katz $p$-adic $L$-functions and congruence modules." Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole normale superieure. Vol. 26. No. 2. Societe mathematique de France, 1993.

[9] Hida, Haruzo, and Jaques Tilouine. "On the anticyclotomic main conjecture for CM fields." Inventiones mathematicae 117.1 (1994): 89-147.

[10] K. Kato, p-adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms, Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmetiques. III. Asterisque No. 295 (2004), ix, p. 117-290.

[11] Kim, Byoung Du. "Signed-Selmer Groups over the $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$-extension of an Imaginary Quadratic Field." Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 66.4 (2014): 826-843.

[12] Kim, Chan Ho, Anticyclotomic Iwasawa invariants and congruences of modular forms, preprint.

[13] David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes, Rankin-Eisenstein classes in Coleman families, preprint, arXiv: 1506.06703 (2015).

[14] Kings, Guido, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes. "Rankin-Eisenstein classes for modular forms." arXiv preprint arXiv:1501.03289 (2015).

[15] Kings, Guido, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes. "Rankin–Eisenstein classes and explicit reciprocity laws." arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.02888 (2015).

[16] Kobayashi, Shin-ichi. "Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes." Inventiones mathematicae 152.1 (2003): 1-36.

[17] Lei, Antonio, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes. "Euler systems for Rankin-Selberg convolutions of modular forms." Annals of Mathematics, Vol 180(2), 653-771.

[18] Loeffler, David, and Sarah Livia Zerbes. "Iwasawa theory and p-adic L-functions over $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$-extensions." arXiv preprint arXiv:1108.5954 (2011).

[19] B. Mazur and A. Wiles, Class fields of abelian extensions of Q, Invent. Math. 76, p. 179-330,1984.

[20] Liu, Ruochuan. "Triangulation of refined families." arXiv preprint arXiv:1202.2188 (2012).

[21] Ohta, Masami. "Ordinary p-adic etale cohomology groups attached to towers of elliptic modular curves. II." Mathematische Annalen 318.3 (2000): 557-583.

[22] Perrin-Riou, Bernadette. p-adic L-Functions and p-Adic Representations. American Mathematical Society, 2000.

[23] J.Pottharst, Cyclotomic Iwasawa theory of motives, preprint. (`http://vbrt.org/jay/math/`).

[24] Pollack, Robert. "On the p-adic L-function of a modular form at a supersingular prime." Duke Mathematical Journal 118.3 (2003): 523-558.

[25] Pollack, Robert, and Karl Rubin. "The main conjecture for CM elliptic curves at supersingular primes." Annals of mathematics (2004): 447-464.

[26] Pollack, Robert, and Tom Weston. "On anticyclotomic Îij-invariants of modular forms." Compositio Mathematica 147.05 (2011): 1353-1381.

[27] Rubin, Karl. "The "main conjectures" of Iwasawa theory for imaginary quadratic fields." Inventiones mathematicae 103.1 (1991): 25-68.

[28] Rubin, Karl. "More "main conjectures" for imaginary quadratic fields." Centre de Recherches Mathematiques 4 (1994): 23-28.

[29] Christopher Skinner, "Multiplicative reduction and the cyclotomic main conjecture for $GL_2$", arXiv:1407.1093.

[30] Florian Sprung, Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes: A pair of main conjectures, Journal of Number Theory, Volume 132, Issue 7, July 2012, Pages 1483-1506.

[31] Skinner, Christopher, and Eric Urban. "The Iwasawa main conjectures for GL2." Inventiones mathematicae 195.1 (2014): 1-277.

[32] Eric Urban, Groupes de Selmer et Fonctions L p-adiques pour les representations modulaires adjointes (E. Urban), preprint (2006).

[33] Eric Urban, Nearly overconvergent modular forms, Proceedings of the Conference "Iwasawa 2012", to appear, 2013.

[34] X. Wan, Iwasawa Main Conjecture for Rankin-Selberg $p$-adic $L$-functions, preprint, arXiv:1408.4044, 2014.

[35] X. Wan, Iwasawa Main Conjecture for Rankin-Selberg $p$-adic $L$-functions (non-ordinary Case), submitted, `arXiv:1412.1767`, 2014.

[36] X. Wan, Introduction to Skinner-Urban's Work on Iwasawa Main Conjecture, to appear in Proceedings of Iwasawa 2012 conference, Heidelberg, Germany.

[37] Washington, Lawrence C. Introduction to cyclotomic fields. Vol. 83. Springer Science and Business Media, 1997.

[38] A. Wiles, The Iwasawa conjecture for totally real fields, Ann. of Math. 131, 1990.

[39] A. Wiles, Elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem Ann. of Math. 141, p. 443-551, 1995.

Xin Wan, Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

*E-mail Address*: xw2295@math.columbia.edu